

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/848,778	05/03/2001		Peter A. Beerel	06666-077001/USC3027 6633	
20985	7590	10/13/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RI	CHARDS	SON, PC	TORRES, JOSEPH D		
P.O. BOX 10	022				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2133	

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/848,778	BEEREL ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Joseph D. Torres	2133

<u>.</u>	Joseph D. Torres	2133	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount or chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, It (a) They raise new issues that would require further conto They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a (corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphane / anonamene (1 102-02-1
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		I be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	/ /	condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure State 15. 7	EXAMINER		
TECHNOLOGY	CENTER 2100		
' XX		Joseph D. Torres, F Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2133	PhD
<i>i</i> 1 /			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant contends, "At the top of page 3, the official action states that one having ordinary skill in the art "only has to recognize" that forward state metrics are prefix operations. With all due respect, this recognition, made by the Official Action, is made only with the benefit of the present specifications". The Examiner disagrees and asserts that that forward stare metrics are a prefix operation by the very definition of a Prefix operation. The definition of a prefix operation is given in Thomson Leighton, which precedes the priority date of the Applicant's specification..